The Parameterized Complexity of the Rectangle Stabbing Problem and its Variants*

Michael Dom¹ and Somnath Sikdar²

Institut für Informatik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Ernst-Abbe-Platz 2, D-07743 Jena, Germany dom@minet.uni-jena.de

² The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C.I.T Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India somnath@imsc.res.in

Abstract. We study an NP-complete geometric covering problem called d-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING, where, given a set of axis-parallel d-dimensional hyperrectangles, a set of axis-parallel (d-1)-dimensional hyperplanes and a positive integer k, the question is whether one can select at most k of the hyperplanes such that every hyperrectangle is intersected by at least one of these hyperplanes. This problem is well-studied from the approximation point of view, while its parameterized complexity remained unexplored so far. Here we show, by giving a non-trivial reduction from a problem called MULTICOLORED CLIQUE, that for $d \geq 3$ the problem is W[1]-hard with respect to the parameter k. For the case d=2, whose parameterized complexity is still open, we consider several natural restrictions and show them to be fixed-parameter tractable.

1 Introduction

A geometric covering problem, in the broadest sense, consists of a set of geometric objects and a set of "resources"; the goal is to find a small set of resources that "covers" all objects. Geometric covering problems arise in many practical applications and are subject of intensive research (see [6,7,11]). In this paper we consider a geometric covering problem known as d-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING. Here, the input consists of a set R of axis-parallel d-dimensional hyperrectangles, a set L of axis-parallel (d-1)-dimensional hyperplanes, and a positive integer k; the question is whether there is a set $L' \subseteq L$ with $|L'| \le k$ such that every hyperrectangle from R is intersected by at least one hyperplane from L'. In the special case of d=2, the set R consists of axis-parallel rectangles in the plane, and L consists of vertical and horizontal lines. In the polynomial-time approximation setting, the optimization version of d-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING is considered, which asks for a minimum-cardinality set $L' \subseteq L$ to cover all rectangles from R.

^{*} Supported by the DAAD-DST exchange program D/05/57666.

The literature provides a bunch of results concerning the approximability of d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing. Hassin and Megiddo [8] described a factor- $d2^{d-1}$ approximation algorithm for the problem variant where L consists of lines instead of hyperplanes and all hyperrectangles in R are identical. Gaur et al. [6] gave a factor-2 approximation algorithm for the case d=2 and extended this result to the problem d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing. for which they provided a factor-d approximation algorithm. Moreover, Mecke et al. [12] gave a factor-d approximation algorithm for a problem called d-C1P-Set Cover, which is a generalization of d-Dimensional Rectangle Stab-BING. The restricted version of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING where for every rectangle the number of horizontal lines intersecting it is bounded from above by one is known as Interval Stabbing. This problem was considered by Kovaleva and Spieksma [9, 10], leading to constant-factor approximation algorithms for several variants of the problem. Hassin and Megiddo [8] gave approximation algorithms for the more general variant of Interval Stabbing where for every rectangle the number of horizontal lines or the number of vertical lines intersecting it is bounded from above by one. Weighted and capacitated versions of 2-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing have been considered by Even et al. [2].

Here, we consider d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing from the view-point of parameterized complexity. More specifically, we investigate whether d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter "solution size" k, that is, if there exists an algorithm running in $O(f(k) \cdot | R \cup L|^{O(1)})$ time with f depending only on k. On the one hand, we show in Section 3 that for $d \geq 3$ the problem is W[1]-hard with respect to the parameter k, meaning that it is unlikely that there exists such an algorithm. On the other hand, in Section 4 we consider several natural restrictions of the case d=2 and show them to be fixed-parameter tractable. The parameterized complexity for the case d=2 without further restrictions remains open.

Due to lack of space, some proofs are omitted.

2 Preliminaries

A parameterized problem is a subset of $\Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$, where Σ is a finite alphabet and \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers. An instance of a parameterized problem is, therefore, a pair (I,k), where k is called the parameter. In the framework of parameterized complexity [1,5,13], the running time of an algorithm is viewed as a function of two quantities: the size of the problem instance and the parameter. A parameterized problem is said to be fixed parameter tractable (FPT) with respect to the parameter k if there exists an algorithm for the problem running in $f(k) \cdot |I|^{O(1)}$ time, where f is a computable function only depending on k.

A common tool in the development of fixed-parameter algorithms is to use a set of data reduction rules to obtain what is called a problem kernel. A data reduction rule is a polynomial-time algorithm which takes as input a problem instance (I, k) and outputs an instance (I', k') such that $|I'| \leq |I|$, $k' \leq k$,

and (I', k') is a YES-instances iff (I, k) is a YES-instance. An instance to which none of a given set of data reduction rules applies is called *reduced* with respect to these rules. A reduced instance (I', k') is called a *problem kernel* if its size is bounded from above by a function f depending only on k. If a parameterized problem has a kernel, then it is clearly fixed-parameter tractable.

A parameterized problem π_1 is fixed-parameter reducible to a parameterized problem π_2 if there are two computable functions $f,g:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ and an algorithm Φ which transforms an instance (I,k) of π_1 into an instance (I',f(k)) of π_2 in $g(k)\cdot |I|^{O(1)}$ time such that (I',f(k)) is a YES-instance for π_2 iff (I,k) is a YES-instance for π_1 . The basic complexity class for fixed-parameter intractability is W[1], as there is strong evidence that W[1]-hard problems are not fixed-parameter tractable [1,5,13]. To show that a problem is W[1]-hard, one needs to exhibit a fixed-parameter reduction from a known W[1]-hard problem to the problem at hand.

A graph G=(V,E) is called k-colorable if there is a function $c:V\to \{1,\ldots,k\}$ satisfying $\forall \{u,v\}\in E:c(u)\neq c(v)$; the function c is then called a proper vertex k-coloring for G.

To achieve our hardness result, we consider *d*-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING as a covering problem on binary matrices, which is a restriction of the following, very general matrix problem:

Set Cover³

Given: A binary matrix M and a positive integer k.

Question: Is there a set of at most k columns of M such that the submatrix M' of M that is induced by these columns has at least one 1 in every row?

To define restricted versions of Set Cover, we need the following definitions.

Definition 1 1. Given a binary matrix M, a block of 1s in a row of M is a maximal set of consecutive 1-entries in this row.

- 2. A binary matrix M has the d-consecutive ones property (d-C1P) if every row of M has at most d blocks of 1s.
- 3. A binary matrix M with columns c_1, \ldots, c_n has the separated d-consecutive ones property (d-SC1P) if the columns of M can be partitioned into d sets of consecutive columns $C^1 = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{j_1}\}, C^2 = \{c_{j_1+1}, \ldots, c_{j_2}\}, \ldots, C^d = \{c_{j_{d-1}+1}, \ldots, c_n\}$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ the submatrix of M induced by C^i has at most one block of 1s per row.

If SET COVER is restricted by demanding that the input matrix M must have the d-C1P, then we call the resulting problem d-C1P-SET COVER; if M must have the d-SC1P, then we call the resulting problem d-SC1P-SET COVER.

Observation 1 The problems d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing and d-SC1P-Set Cover are equivalent: There is a polynomial-time computable one-to-one mapping between instances of d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing

³ The equivalence of this definition and the more common definition of Set Cover as a subset selection problem can easily be seen by identifying columns with subsets and rows with elements to be covered.

and instances of d-SC1P-Set Cover that does not change the value of k and that maps yes-instances to yes-instances and no-instances to no-instances.

This observation is easy to see—the *i*th dimension in a *d*-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING instance can be represented by the column set C^i in a *d*-SC1P-SET COVER instance and vice versa.

For some of our FPT algorithms, we make use of the following well-known fact: Given a set of axis-parallel rectangles and a set of vertical (horizontal) lines, the task of finding a minimum-cardinality subset of these vertical (horizontal) lines that intersects all rectangles is polynomial-time solvable⁴: Order the rectangles with respect to their right (bottom) end. Then, repeatedly take the first rectangle r in this order, include the rightmost vertical (bottommost horizontal) line l that intersects r into the solution, and delete all rectangles intersected by l, until all rectangles are deleted. The solution obtained is a minimum-size set of vertical (horizontal) lines that are required to intersect all rectangles. Moreover, all rectangles r together that are selected by the algorithm form a "certificate" in the sense that they cannot be intersected by a set of vertical (horizontal) lines that is smaller than the solution found by the algorithm. The pseudocode of this algorithm is displayed in Fig. 2.

3 W[1]-Hardness Proof for d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing with $d \geq 3$

In this section we prove that d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing with parameter k is W[1]-hard for every $d \geq 3$. To this end, we exhibit a fixed-parameter reduction from Multicolored Clique, which is defined as follows, to 3-SC1P-Set Cover.

Multicolored Clique

Given: An undirected k-colorable graph G = (V, E), a positive integer k, and a proper vertex k-coloring $c: V \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ for G.

Question: Is there a size-k clique in G?

MULTICOLORED CLIQUE is W[1]-complete with respect to the parameter k [4]. Using the "k-MULTICOLORED CLIQUE reduction technique" designed by Fellows et al. [4], a fixed-parameter reduction from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE to 3-C1P-SET COVER can be found in a rather straightforward way [3], which proves the W[1]-hardness of the latter problem. However, the W[1]-hardness of 3-SC1P-SET COVER is more difficult to prove because of the more restricted nature of this problem.

The basic scheme of the reduction. The basic scheme of our reduction follows the technique described by Fellows et al. [4]. The key idea is to use an alternative, equivalent formulation of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE: Given an undirected k-colorable graph G = (V, E), a positive integer k, and a proper vertex k-coloring

⁴ This problem is equivalent to CLIQUE COVER on interval graphs.

 $c: V \to \{1, \dots, k\}$ for G, find a set $E' \subseteq E$ with $|E'| = {k \choose 2}$ and a set $V' \subseteq V$ with |V'| = k that satisfy the following constraints:

- 1. For every unordered pair $\{a,b\}$ of colors from $\{1,\ldots,k\}$, the edge set E' contains an edge whose endpoints are colored with a and b.
- 2. For every color from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the vertex set V' contains a vertex of this color.
- 3. If E' contains an edge $\{u, v\}$, then V' contains the vertices u and v.

Given an instance (G,k,c) of Multicolored Clique, we construct an equivalent instance (M,k') of 3-SC1P-Set Cover based on this alternative formulation. To this end, define the color of an edge $\{u,v\}$, denoted with $d(\{u,v\})$, as $d(\{u,v\}) = \{c(u),c(v)\}$. We assume that the edges $E = \{e_1,\ldots,e_{|E|}\}$ and vertices $V = \{v_1,\ldots,v_{|V|}\}$ of G are ordered in such a way that that edges and vertices of the same color appear consecutively: For every pair $p_1,p_2 \in \{1,\ldots,|E|\}$ with $p_1 < p_2$ and $d(e_{p_1}) = d(e_{p_2})$ it holds that $\forall p_3 \in \{p_1+1,\ldots,p_2-1\}: d(e_{p_3}) = d(e_{p_1}) = d(e_{p_2})$, and for every pair $q_1,q_2 \in \{1,\ldots,|V|\}$ with $q_1 < q_2$ and $c(v_{q_1}) = c(v_{q_2})$ it holds that $\forall q_3 \in \{q_1+1,\ldots,q_2-1\}: c(v_{q_3}) = c(v_{q_1}) = c(v_{q_2})$.

The idea of the reduction is that every column of M corresponds to an edge or a vertex of the given graph G; the rows of M are constructed in such a way that any column subset of M that is a solution for 3-SC1P-SET COVER on (M,k') corresponds to a solution (E',V') for MULTICOLORED CLIQUE on (G,k,c). To this end, the rows of M must enforce that the three constraints for MULTICOLORED CLIQUE mentioned above are satisfied. In order to obtain a matrix that has the 3-SC1P, we need not only one, but two columns in M for every edge e in G. Hence an instance (G,k,c) of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE is mapped to an instance (M,k'), where $k'=2\cdot\binom{k}{2}+k$. We next describe the construction of M.

The columns of M. The matrix M has $2 \cdot |E| + |V|$ columns, partitioned into three sets $C^1 = \{c_1^1, \dots, c_{|E|}^1\}$, $C^2 = \{c_1^2, \dots, c_{|E|}^2\}$, and $C^3 = \{c_1^3, \dots, c_{|V|}^3\}$ and ordered as follows: $c_1^1, \dots, c_{|E|}^1, c_1^2, \dots, c_{|E|}^2, c_1^3, \dots, c_{|V|}^3$.

The rows of M. The rows of M have to ensure that every solution for 3-SC1P-SET COVER on $(M,k'=2\cdot\binom{k}{2}+k)$ corresponds to a subset of edges and vertices of G satisfying the three constraints mentioned above. Because there are two columns in M for every edge in G, we need four types of rows: Rows of Type 1 and 2 ensure that any set of k' columns that forms a solution for 3-SC1P-SET COVER contains exactly $\binom{k}{2}$ columns from C^1 —one of each edge color—, $\binom{k}{2}$ columns from C^2 —one of each edge color—, and k columns from C^3 —one of each vertex color. Type 3 rows ensure that the columns chosen from C^1 and C^2 are consistent: if a solution contains the column c^1_j then it must contain c^2_j and vice versa. Finally, Type 4 rows ensure that if a solution contains a column c^1_j corresponding to an edge $\{u,v\}$ then it also contains the columns corresponding to the vertices u and v. See Fig. 1 for an illustration of the following construction details.

| | $ \begin{array}{c c} C^1 \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ & c_4^1 \ c_5^1 \ c_6^1 \ c_7^1 \end{array} $ | | $ \begin{array}{c c} C^2 \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ & c_4^2 \ c_5^2 \ c_6^2 \ c_7^2 \end{array} $ | | $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{red} \\ c_2^3 & c_3^3 \end{bmatrix}$ | blue $c_7^3 c_8^3 c_9^3$ | |
|---|---|--|---|------|---|--------------------------|--|
| $r^1_{\{\text{red,blue}\},C^1}$ $r^1_{\{\text{red,blue}\},C^2\}}$ | 1 1 1 1 | | 1111 | | | | |
| $r_{\{\mathrm{red,blue}\},C^2}^1 \ r_{\mathrm{red}}^2 \ r_{\mathrm{blue}}^2$ | | | | | 1 1 | 1 1 1 | |
| $r_{\{\mathrm{red,blue}\},1}^3 \ r_{\{\mathrm{red,blue}\},2}^3$ | 1 1 1 | | 111 | | | | |
| $r_{\{{ m red,blue}\},3}^3 \ r_{\{{ m red,blue}\},4}^3 \ r_{\{{ m red,blue}\},5}^3$ | $\begin{array}{c c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | | $egin{array}{cccc} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | | | | |
| $r_{\{\mathrm{red,blue}\},6}^3$ | 1 | | 111 | | 1 | | |
| $r_{e_5,v_2}^4 \ r_{e_5,v_8}^4 \ \ldots$ | 1 | | 11 | | | 1 | |

Fig. 1. Example for the construction of M. We assume that in G there are exactly two red vertices v_2, v_3 and exactly three blue vertices v_7, v_8, v_9 , among vertices of other colors. Moreover, the only edges between red and blue vertices are e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7 with $e_5 = \{v_2, v_8\}$. Hence, first({red, blue}) = 4.

Type 1 rows. For every edge color $\{a,b\}$, add two rows $r_{\{a,b\},C^1}^1$ and $r_{\{a,b\},C^2}^1$ to M. For i=1,2, the row $r_{\{a,b\},C^i}^1$ has a 1 in every column $c_i^i \in C^i$ with $d(e_i)=$ $\{a,b\}$, and 0s in all other columns.

Type 2 rows. For every vertex color $a \in \{1, ..., k\}$, add a row r_a^2 to M which has a 1 in every column $c_j^3 \in C^3$ with $c(v_j) = a$, and 0s in all other columns.

Type 3 rows. For every edge color $\{a,b\}$, define $E_{\{a,b\}} := \{e \in E \mid d(e) = e\}$ **Type 3 rows.** For every edge color $\{a,b\}$, define $E_{\{a,b\}} := \{e \in E \mid a(e) = \{a,b\}\}$ and first $(\{a,b\}) := \min\{p \in \{1,\ldots,|E|\} \mid d(e_p) = \{a,b\}\}$. Now, for every edge color $\{a,b\}$, add a set of $2 \cdot (|E_{\{a,b\}}|-1)$ rows $r_{\{a,b\},i}^3$ where $1 \leq i \leq 2 \cdot (|E_{\{a,b\}}|-1)$. A row $r_{\{a,b\},i}^3$ with $i \in \{1,\ldots,|E_{\{a,b\}}|-1\}$, has a 1 in — every column $c_j^1 \in C^1$ with $d(e_j) = \{a,b\}$ and $j < \text{first}(\{a,b\}) + i$ and — every column $c_j^2 \in C^2$ with $d(e_j) = \{a,b\}$ and $j \geq \text{first}(\{a,b\}) + i$, and 0s in all other columns. A row $r_{\{a,b\},i}^3$ with $i \in \{|E_{\{a,b\}}|,\ldots,2 \cdot (|E_{\{a,b\}}|-1)\}$ has a 1 in

- has a 1 in
- every column $c_j^1 \in C^1$ with $d(e_j) = \{a, b\}$ and $j \ge \operatorname{first}(\{a, b\}) + i (|E_{\{a, b\}}| |E_{\{a, b\}}|) + i (|E_{\{a, b\}}|)$
- every column $c_i^2 \in C^2$ with $d(e_j) = \{a, b\}$ and $j < \text{first}(\{a, b\}) + i (|E_{\{a, b\}}| |E_{\{a, b\}}|)$

and 0s in all other columns.

Type 4 rows. For every edge $e_p = \{v_{q_1}, v_{q_2}\} \in E$, add two rows $r_{e_p, v_{q_1}}^4$ and $r_{e_p,v_{q_2}}^4$ to M. For i=1,2, the row $r_{e_p,v_{q_i}}^4$ has a 1 in – every column $c_j^1 \in C^1$ with $d(e_j) = d(e_p)$ and j < p,

- every column $c_j^2 \in C^2$ with $d(e_j) = d(e_p)$ and j > p, and the column $c_{q_i}^3 \in C^3$, and 0s in all other columns.
- **Lemma 1.** Let (G, k, c) be an instance of MULTICOLORED CLIQUE and let (M, k') be the instance of 3-SC1P-SET COVER obtained by the above construction. Then G contains a clique of size k if and only if there exists a set of $k' = 2 \cdot {k \choose 2} + k$ columns in M that hits a 1 in every row.

Theorem 1. For every $d \geq 3$, d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing is W[1]-hard with respect to the parameter k.

By adding some additional columns to the above construction, we get the following result.

Theorem 2. For every $d \geq 3$, the restricted variant of d-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing where every hyperrectangle in R is a hypercube is W[1]-hard with respect to the parameter k.

With a similar reduction we can also show the W[1]-hardness of the following problem: Given a set R of axis-parallel d-dimensional hyperrectangles, a set L of axis-parallel lines, and a positive integer k, is there a set $L' \subseteq L$ with $|L'| \le k$ such that every hyperrectangle from R is intersected by at least one line from L'?

Theorem 3. For $d \geq 3$, the problem of stabbing axis-parallel d-dimensional hyperrectangles with k axis-parallel lines is W[1]-hard with respect to the parameter k.

4 FPT Algorithms for Restrictions of 2-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing

In the previous section we have shown that d-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING with parameter k is W[1]-hard for $d \geq 3$. However, the parameterized complexity of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING, where a set R of axis-parallel rectangles has to be stabbed with at most k lines chosen from a given set L of vertical and horizontal lines, is still open. In this section we consider some natural restrictions of this problem and show them to be fixed-parameter tractable.

For an instance (R,L,k) of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING, let $L=V\cup H$, where $V=\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ are the vertical lines ordered from left to right and $H=\{h_1,\ldots,h_m\}$ are the horizontal lines ordered from top to bottom. For a rectangle $r\in R$, let $\mathrm{lx}(r),\mathrm{rx}(r),\mathrm{tx}(r),\mathrm{bx}(r)$ be the index of the leftmost, rightmost, topmost and bottommost line intersecting r. Define the width $\mathrm{wh}(r):=\mathrm{rx}(r)-\mathrm{lx}(r)+1$ and the height $\mathrm{ht}(r):=\mathrm{bx}(r)-\mathrm{tx}(r)+1$ as the number of vertical and horizontal lines, respectively, intersecting r.

We start with some well-known data reduction rules for 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING, whose correctness is obvious.

- 1. If there is a rectangle that is intersected by no line from L, then the given instance is a NO-instance.
- 2. If there is a rectangle that is intersected by exactly one line $l \in L$, then delete all rectangles that are intersected by l, delete l, and decrease k by one.
- 3. If there are two lines $l_1, l_2 \in L$ such that every rectangle in R that is intersected by l_2 is also intersected by l_1 , then delete l_2 .
- 4. If there are two rectangles $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that every line in L that intersects r_1 also intersects r_2 , then delete r_2 .

The following observation is an immediate consequence of data reduction rule 3.

Observation 2 In a reduced problem instance, for every vertical line $v_j \in V$ there exist rectangles $r, r' \in R$ with lx(r) = j and rx(r') = j. For every horizontal line $h_i \in H$ there exist rectangles $r, r' \in R$ with tx(r) = i and bx(r') = i.

In particular, Observation 2 implies that in a reduced problem instance there exist rectangles $r, r' \in R$ such that wh(r) = 1 and ht(r') = 1.

4.1 Case 1: Rectangles Have Bounded Height

We first consider the case where the height of every rectangle in R is bounded by a number b. Even the case b=1 where every rectangle is a horizontal segment is NP-complete; Hassin and Megiddo [8] and Kovaleva and Spieksma [9, 10] gave approximation algorithms for this case and some of its variants.

For our FPT considerations, we use a simple search-tree algorithm using Observation 2. At every step, apply the data reduction rules until the current instance is reduced, search for a rectangle r with rx(r) = 1, and branch as follows: either select the single vertical line that intersects r or select one of the at most b horizontal lines that intersect r.

Theorem 4. The restricted variant of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING where the height $\operatorname{ht}(r)$ of every rectangle $r \in R$ is bounded from above by a number b can be solved in $O((b+1)^k \cdot n^{O(1)})$ time.

The algorithm described above can be modified to solve also the weighted version of the problem, where every line has a weight that is bounded from below by 1 and from above by a number b'. The data reduction rules need modification for this problem version; the running time of the algorithm is $O((b+b')^k \cdot n^{O(1)})$.

4.2 Case 2: Rectangles Have Bounded Width or Height

Next, we consider a generalization of Case 1: Here, for every rectangle r in R the width $\operatorname{wh}(r)$ or the height $\operatorname{ht}(r)$ is bounded from above by a number b. Clearly, even the case b=1, where every rectangle is either a horizontal or a vertical segment, is NP-complete; this case was already considered by Hassin and Megiddo [8] from the approximation point of view.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} & \text{function greedy}(R,L,k) \ \{ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &
```

Fig. 2. Greedy algorithm for stabbing a set R of rectangles with at most k lines chosen from a given set L of vertical lines or horizontal lines.

The approach outlined in Section 4.1 does not work anymore since in a reduced instance the height of every rectangle r with $\operatorname{rx}(r)=1$ may be unbounded. However, there is again a search-tree algorithm. Let $R_h\subseteq R$ be the set of rectangles with bounded height and let $R_v\subseteq R$ be the set of rectangles with bounded width. Now, we write k as a sum k_h+k_v in all possible ways, where k_h and k_v denote the number of horizontal and vertical lines, respectively, allowed to be chosen into the solution. For every splitting of k into k_h and k_v , we run a branching algorithm, which performs in every step the following actions.

First, compute the minimum number of vertical lines required to intersect the rectangles in R_h . This is polynomial-time doable, and the simple greedy algorithm in Fig. 2 obtains such a set of vertical lines. If R_h cannot be stabbed with a set of at most k_v vertical lines, then the algorithm in Fig. 2 outputs a set $R_h^0 \subseteq R_h$ of size $k_v + 1$ such that the optimum number of vertical lines needed to intersect all rectangles in R_h^0 is exactly $k_v + 1$. Any solution for 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING on (R, L, k) consisting of at most k_v vertical and at most k_h horizontal lines must intersect at least one rectangle in R_h^0 by a horizontal line. Hence, branch on the $(k_v + 1) \cdot b$ possibilities to do so.

If, however, all rectangles in R_h can be intersected with k_v vertical lines, we use the greedy algorithm to check whether the rectangles in R_v can be intersected with k_h horizontal lines. If not, we branch on $(k_h+1) \cdot b$ possibilities in analogy to the branching for R_h^0 described above; otherwise, we return the union of the solutions returned by the two calls to the greedy algorithm. Fig. 3 shows a pseudocode for this algorithm. The branching number is at most bk, which leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 5. The restricted variant of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING where the width or the height of every rectangle in R is bounded from above by a number b can be solved in $O((bk)^k \cdot n^{O(1)})$ time.

```
R_v: a set of rectangles with bounded width,
                                   H,V: a set of horizontal lines and a set of vertical lines,
                                   k_h, k_v: nonnegative integers.
                   Output: A subset of H \cup V containing \leq k_h lines from H and \leq k_v lines from V that stabs all rectangles from R_h \cup R_v, or null, if no such subset exists.
               if greedy(R_h,V,k_v) returns a set R_h^0\subseteq R_h of rectangles: {
 2
                        if k_h = 0: return null;
                       for every rectangle r \in R_h^0: for every line h \in H that intersects r: \{R_h' := R_h \setminus R_h(h); \ R_v' := R_v \setminus R_v(h); \ H' := H \setminus \{h\}; A := \operatorname{stab}(R_h', R_v', H', V, k_h - 1, k_v);  if A \neq \operatorname{null}: return A \cup \{h\};
 4
 5
6
7
 8
                       return null; }
               if greedy(R_v, H, k_h) returns a set R_v^0 \subseteq R_v of rectangles: {
10
                        if k_v = 0: return null;
                       for every rectangle r \in R_v^0: for every line v \in V that intersects r: { R_h' := R_h \setminus R_h(v); R_v' := R_v \setminus R_v(v); V' := V \setminus \{v\}; A := \operatorname{stab}(R_h', R_v', H, V', k_h, k_v - 1); if A \neq \operatorname{null}: return A \cup \{v\}; }
11
12
13
14
15
                       return null;
               return null; } return the union V' \cup H' of the solutions returned by the two calls (lines 2 and 9) of greedy(); }
```

Fig. 3. Branching algorithm for stabbing a set $R_v \cup R_h$ of rectangles with at most k_v lines chosen from a given set V of vertical lines and at most k_h lines chosen from a given set H of horizontal lines. For a line l, we denote with $R_h(l)$ and $R_v(l)$ the set of all rectangles in R_h and R_v , respectively, that are intersected by l.

4.3 Case 3: Bounded Intersection

In this subsection we consider a restriction of 2-Dimensional Rectangle Stabbing in which every horizontal line intersects at most b rectangles from R; this restriction was already considered by Kovaleva and Spieksma [9,10] from the approximation point of view. For b=1, this problem is clearly polynomial-time solvable since the horizontal lines can just be ignored. For b=2 the problem is NP-complete, but one can easily find an $O(k^k \cdot n^{O(1)})$ -time branching algorithm. However, this algorithm cannot be generalized for the case $b \geq 3$. In this subsection, we show that this restriction of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the combined parameters k and b by developing a problem kernel.

First, in addition to the previously mentioned data reduction rules, we use the following data reduction rule:

5. If there are bk + 2 rectangles $r_1, \ldots, r_{bk+2} \in R$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, bk + 1\}$ it holds that every vertical line that intersects r_i also intersects r_{bk+2} , then delete r_{bk+2} .

The correctness of this data reduction rule follows from the fact that k horizontal lines cannot intersect all rectangles r_1, \ldots, r_{bk+1} . Hence, if the instance with r_{bk+2} deleted is a YES-instance, every solution must contain a vertical line stabbing some of the rectangles r_1, \ldots, r_{bk+1} , and this line also stabs r_{bk+2} in the original instance, which, therefore, is also a YES-instance.

The following two observations are immediate consequences of data reduction rule 5.

Observation 3 For every rectangle r in a reduced instance there are at most bk rectangles $r' \neq r$ with $lx(r') \geq lx(r)$ and $rx(r') \leq rx(r)$.

Observation 4 In a reduced instance, for every $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ there are at most bk + 1 rectangles r with lx(r) = j.

Lemma 2. For every rectangle $r \in R$ in a reduced instance it holds that $rx(r) \le (bk+1) \cdot lx(r)$.

Proof. By induction on lx(r). Details are omitted due to lack of space.

Lemma 3. In a reduced instance, for every $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ there is a rectangle $r \in R$ with lx(r) > j and $rx(r) \le (bk+1) \cdot j + 1$.

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that for every rectangle $r \in R$ with lx(r) > j it holds that $rx(r) > (bk + 1) \cdot j + 1$. Consider a rectangle r' with $rx(r') = (bk + 1) \cdot j + 1$. Such a rectangle exists by Observation 2. Then it holds that $lx(r') \leq j$ due to our assumption. But by Lemma 2 we have $rx(r') \leq (bk + 1) \cdot j$, a contradiction.

Corollary 1. Let $q \le n$, and let $\{v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}, \ldots, v_{j_q}\} \subseteq V$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_q$ be a set of vertical lines stabbing all rectangles from R in a reduced instance. Then for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ it holds that $j_i \le ((bk+1)^i - 1)/bk$.

Proof. By induction on i. For i=1, the statement holds because in any reduced instance there is a rectangle r with $\operatorname{lx}(r)=\operatorname{rx}(r)=1$. Assume that the statement holds for i-1, that is, $j_{i-1} \leq ((bk+1)^{i-1}-1)/bk$. By Lemma 3, there is a rectangle $r \in R$ with $\operatorname{lx}(r) > j_{i-1}$ and $\operatorname{rx}(r) \leq (bk+1) \cdot j_{i-1} + 1$. Clearly this rectangle is not stabbed by any line from $\{v_{j_1},\ldots,v_{j_{i-1}}\}$ and therefore, we have $j_i \leq \operatorname{rx}(r) \leq (bk+1) \cdot j_{i-1} + 1 \leq ((bk+1)^i-1)/bk$.

Observation 5 If an instance of the restricted variant of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING is a YES-instance, then there is a set $V' \subseteq V$ of at most bk vertical lines that intersect all rectangles in R.

Proof. Replace every horizontal line h in an optimal solution by at most b vertical lines that intersect the rectangles intersected by h.

Theorem 6. The restricted variant of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING where every horizontal line intersects at most b rectangles has a problem kernel of size $O((bk+1)^{bk})$ and is therefore fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the combined parameters k and b.

Proof. Given an instance of this restricted version, first find the optimal number of vertical lines needed to intersect all rectangles. As noted before, this is polynomial-time doable. If the optimal solution size is greater than bk, report that the given instance is a NO-instance. Otherwise, by Corollary 1, we know that every set of vertical lines $\{v_{j_1}, \ldots, v_{j_{bk}}\}$ that intersects all rectangles in R

has $j_{bk} \leq ((bk+1)^{bk}-1)/bk$. If the given instance is a YES-instance, then R cannot contain any rectangle r with $lx(r) > j_{bk}$. For every $j \in \{1, \ldots, j_{bk}\}$, however, there are at most bk+1 rectangles r with lx(r) = j due to Observation 4. Hence, if R contains more than $O((bk+1)^{bk})$ rectangles, report that the given instance is a NO-instance.

5 Open Questions

We have shown that d-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING with $d \geq 3$ is W[1]-hard. However, the parameterized complexity of the perhaps most interesting case d=2 remains open, as well as that of 2-C1P-SET COVER. Even for the restriction of 2-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGLE STABBING where no two rectangles from R "overlap" (two rectangles r_1, r_2 overlap if there exist a vertical line v and a horizontal line h that both intersect r_1 and r_2) we do not know the parameterized complexity.

Acknowledgement

We thank Michael R. Fellows for explaining us the unpublished "k-Multi-colored Clique reduction technique".

References

- 1. R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. Parameterized Complexity. Springer, 1999.
- G. Even, D. Rawitz, and S. Shahar. Approximation algorithms for capacitated rectangle stabbing. In *Proc. 6th CIAC*, volume 3998 of *LNCS*, pages 18–29. Springer, 2006.
- 3. M. R. Fellows, Sept. 2007. Personal communication.
- M. R. Fellows, D. Hermelin, F. A. Rosamond, and S. Vialette. On the parameterized complexity of multiple-interval graph problems. Manuscript, 2007.
- 5. J. Flum and M. Grohe. Parameterized Complexity Theory. Springer, 2006.
- D. R. Gaur, T. Ibaraki, and R. Krishnamurti. Constant ratio approximation algorithms for the rectangle stabbing problem and the rectilinear partitioning problem. J. Algorithms, 43(1):138–152, 2002.
- 7. P. Giannopoulos, C. Knauer, and S. Whitesides. Parameterized complexity of geometric problems. *The Computer Journal*, 2007. DOI: 10.1093/comjnl/bxm053.
- 8. R. Hassin and N. Megiddo. Approximation algorithms for hitting objects with straight lines. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 30:29–42, 1991.
- S. Kovaleva and F. C. R. Spieksma. Approximation of a geometric set covering problem. In *Proc. 12th ISAAC*, volume 2223 of *LNCS*, pages 493–501. Springer, 2001
- S. Kovaleva and F. C. R. Spieksma. Approximation algorithms for rectangle stabbing and interval stabbing problems. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 20(3):748–768, 2006.
- S. Langerman and P. Morin. Covering things with things. Discrete Comput. Geom., 33(4):717–729, 2005.
- 12. S. Mecke, A. Schöbel, and D. Wagner. Station location complexity and approximation. In *Proc. 5th ATMOS*. IBFI Dagstuhl, Germany, 2005.
- 13. R. Niedermeier. *Invitation to Fixed-Parameter Algorithms*. Oxford University Press, 2006.